

Docket No.: ROHS-12/11
Serial No.: 10/738,458

REMARKS

OK
Mn
12-22-05

Record is made of a telephone interview between applicant's representative and the Examiner which took place on December 12, 2005 in which applicant discussed the Examiner's comments in the Advisory Action of November 3, 2005. In the Advisory Action, the Examiner accepted and entered the Substitute Specification submitted by applicant. In the Advisory Action, the Examiner further stated that the Amendment After Final filed on October 19, did not place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant informed the Examiner that a further Amendment After Final will be forthcoming in which claim 23 will be cancelled and the Examiner's comments regarding applicant's prior submission be addressed and corrected.

Rejections

The Examiner has rejected the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph on the ground that the claims are not supported by the description as filed. Applicant disagrees with the Examiner. All subject matter contained in the currently submitted claims are believed supported by the description and are discussed in the order in which they appear in the Official Action.

With respect to claim 1 as set forth in 7a, the Examiner is directed to paragraphs [0041] and [0044] to [0046] of the specification in which the limitation "a restoring force which increases with the increase in the relative rotation of the